DARIA A. LOY-GOTO 6175
JOHN T. HASSLER 5311
Regulated Industries Complaints Office
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
State of Hawaii
Leiopapa A Kamehameha Building
235 South Beretania Street, Suite 900
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone: 586-2660

Attorneys for Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs



BOARD OF PRIVATE DETECTIVES AND GUARDS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Guard Agency License)	PDG 2017-7-L
of)	
)	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRIOR TO
CONTEMPORARY SERVICES)	FILING OF PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY
CORPORATION,)	ACTION AND BOARD'S FINAL ORDER;
)	EXHIBIT "1"
Respondent.)	
	_)	
241042211	10	

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND BOARD'S FINAL ORDER

Petitioner, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS' REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMPLAINTS OFFICE (hereinafter "RICO" or "Petitioner"), through its undersigned attorney(s), and Respondent CONTEMPORARY SERVICES CORPORATION (hereinafter "Respondent"), enter into this Settlement Agreement on the terms and conditions set forth below.

A. UNCONTESTED FACTS:

- 1. At all relevant times herein, Respondent was licensed by the Board of Private Detectives and Guards (hereinafter the "Board") as a guard agency under license number GDA 638. The license was issued on or about January 8, 1999. The license will expire or forfeit on or about June 30, 2018.
- 2. Respondent's mailing address for purposes of this action is c/o Edward S. Kim, Esq., Contemporary Services Corporation, 17101 Superior Street, Northridge, California 91325.
- 3. RICO received a request for investigation from the Board after Respondent reported disciplinary action taken by the Ohio Department of Public Safety.

- 4. Respondent provided a copy of a Settlement Agreement between Respondent and the Ohio Department of Public Safety in CASE NO. 2016-940 (hereinafter the "Ohio Agreement") (Exhibit "1"). The Ohio Agreement was based on allegations Respondent allowed 48 employees to provide unregistered security guard services and imposed a \$14,499.00 civil penalty.
- 5. The foregoing allegations, if proven at an administrative hearing before the Board, would constitute violations of the following statute(s) and/or rule(s): Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") § 436B-19(13) (disciplinary action by another state).
- 6. The Board has jurisdiction over the subject matter herein and over the parties hereto.

B. REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONDENT:

0.10.0

- 1. Respondent is fully aware that Respondent has the right to be represented by an attorney and is represented in this matter by attorney Edward S. Kim, Esq.
- 2. Respondent enters into this Settlement Agreement freely, knowingly, voluntarily, and under no coercion or duress.
- 3. Respondent is aware of the right to have a hearing to adjudicate the issues in the case. Pursuant to HRS § 91-9(d), Respondent freely, knowingly, and voluntarily waives the right to a hearing and agrees to dispose of this case in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement.
- 4. Respondent being at all times relevant herein licensed as a guard agency by the Board acknowledges that Respondent is subject to penalties including but not limited to, revocation, suspension or limitation of the license and administrative fines, if the foregoing allegations are proven at hearing.
- 5. Respondent represents Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of the Ohio Agreement.
- 6. Respondent understands that any false or untrue statement or any material misrepresentation or omission of fact by Respondent in this settlement agreement may be grounds for further disciplinary action under HRS chapters 436B and 463.
- 7. Respondent further understands that RICO enters into this Settlement Agreement, and agrees to the specific terms contained in this Settlement Agreement, based upon Respondent's representations made herein.
- 8. Respondent does not admit to violating any law or rule, but acknowledges that RICO has sufficient cause to file a Petition for Disciplinary Action against Respondent's guard agency license, and states all individuals in Respondent's employ in Hawaii are currently licensed as required by Hawaii law.

- 9. Respondent enters into this Settlement Agreement as a compromise of the claims and to conserve on the expenses of proceeding with an administrative hearing on this matter.
- 10. Respondent agrees that this Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve the issues raised in RICO's investigation in RICO No. PDG 2017-7-L.
- 11. Respondent understands this Settlement Agreement is public record pursuant to Hawaii Revised Statutes chapter 92F.

C. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT:

F 15 1

- 1. Administrative fine. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$500.00). Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order made payable to "DCCA Compliance Resolution Fund" and mailed to the Regulated Industries Complaints Office, Attn.: John T. Hassler, Esq., 235 S. Beretania Street, 9th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813. Payment of the fine shall be due at the time this Settlement Agreement is returned to RICO.
- 2. Failure to Comply with Settlement Agreement. If Respondent fails to fully and timely comply with the terms of this Settlement Agreement as set forth in paragraph(s) C.1 above, Respondent's license shall be automatically revoked upon RICO's filing of an affidavit with the Board attesting to such failure. In case of such revocation, Respondent shall turn in all indicia of the license to the Executive Officer of the Board within ten (10) days after receipt of notice of the revocation. In case of such revocation, Respondent understands Respondent cannot apply for a new license until the expiration of at least five (5) years after the effective date of the revocation. Respondent understands that if Respondent desires to become licensed again, Respondent must apply to the Board for a new license pursuant to and subject to HRS §§ 92-17, 436B-21, and all other applicable laws and rules in effect at the time.
- 3. <u>Possible further sanction</u>. The Board, at its discretion, may pursue additional disciplinary action as provided by law to include further fines and other sanctions as the Board may deem appropriate if Respondent violates any provision of the statutes or rules governing the conduct of guard agencies in the State of Hawaii, or if Respondent fails to abide by the terms of this Settlement Agreement.
- 4. <u>Approval of the Board</u>. Respondent agrees that, except for the representations, agreements and covenants contained in Paragraphs C.5, C.6, C.7 and C.8 below, this Settlement Agreement shall not be binding on any of the parties unless and until it is approved by the Board.
- 5. No Objection if Board Fails to Approve. If the Board does not approve this Settlement Agreement, does not issue an order pursuant thereto, or does not approve a lesser remedy, but instead an administrative hearing is conducted against Respondent in the Board's usual and customary fashion pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, Respondent agrees that neither Respondent nor any attorney that Respondent may retain, will raise as an objection in any administrative proceeding or in any judicial action, to the Board's proceeding against Respondent on the basis that the Board has become disqualified to consider the case because of its review and consideration of this Settlement Agreement.

- 6. <u>Any Ambiguities Shall be Construed to Protect the Consuming Public</u>. It is agreed that any ambiguity in this Settlement Agreement is to be read in the manner that most completely protects the interests of the consuming public.
- 7. No Reliance on Representations by RICO. Other than the matters specifically stated in this Settlement Agreement, neither RICO nor anyone acting on its behalf has made any representation of fact, opinion or promise to Respondent to induce entry into this Settlement Agreement, and Respondent is not relying upon any statement, representation or opinion or promise made by RICO or any of its agents, employees, representatives or attorneys concerning the nature, extent or duration of exposure to legal liability arising from the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement or concerning any other matter.
- 8. <u>Complete Agreement</u>. This Settlement Agreement is a complete settlement of the rights, responsibilities and liabilities of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof; contains the entire agreement of the parties; and may only be modified, changed or amended by written instrument duly executed by all parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have signed this Settlement Agreement on the date(s) set forth below.

DATED: NETHELDES	, CALIFORNIA	, 08/31	2017	
(CITY)	(STATE)	Let 4	(DATE)	

CONTEMPORARY SERVICES CORPORATION

Respondent

By: Jis Assessment Control

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, APR - 4 2017

DARIA A. LOY-GOTO JOHN T. HASSLER

Attorneys for Department of Commerce and

Consumer Affairs

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

EDWARD S. KIM Attorney for Respondent IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARD AGENCY LICENSE OF CONTEMPORARY SERVICES CORPORATION; SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND BOARD'S FINAL ORDER; EXHIBIT "1"; CASE NO. PDG 2017-7-L.

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED: BOARD OF PRIVATE DETECTIVES AND GUARDS STATE OF HAWAII

RAY GALAS Chairperson

ALBERT DENIS Vice Chairperson

CHIEF TIVOL/FAAUMU

4 mg 2019

DATE

CHIEF DARRYL PERRY

KENNETH CHANG

PVL 02/17

STATE OF <u>California</u>) SS.
COUNTY OF LOS angeles) ss.
On this 31st day of March , 2017, before me personally appeared
Edward Kim, to me known to be the person described, and who executed the
foregoing instrument on behalf of CONTEMPORARY SERVICES CORPORATION as its
Associate General Counsel, and acknowledged that he/she executed the same as his/he
free act and deed.
This 6-page <u>SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION FOR</u>
DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND BOARD'S FINAL ORDER dated Warch 31, 2017
was acknowledged before me by Edward Kim this 3181 day of
March, 2017, in the City of Northridge, in the County of
Los angeles in the State of California.
andly of
ANABEL MARTINEZ COMM. #2135574 Notary Public - California Notary Public, State of California
Los Angeles County My Comm. Expires Dec. 3, 2019 My Commission expires: 12 3 19

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

validity of that document.
State of California County of
On March 31, 2017 before me, Anabel Martinez - Notary Public
(insert name and title of the officer)
personally appeared Edward Kim
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal. ANABEL MARTINEZ COMM. #2135574 Notary Public · California Los Angeles County My Comm. Expires Dec. 3, 2019 Signature (Seal)
()

STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, INVESTIGATIVE UNIT, PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR SECURITY GUARD SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: CONTEMPORARY SERVICES CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2016-940

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement is entered into by and between the Ohio Department of Public Safety (hereinafter the "Department") and Contemporary Services Corporation. (hereinafter "Respondent"). Where applicable in this Settlement Agreement, the term "Parties" means both the Department and Respondent.

RECITALS

- A. Respondent holds a class C Security Guard Services Provider License, license #66200067229 ("License").
- B. On July 7, 2016, the Department notified Respondent by certified letter ("Notice") that the Department proposed to take action against Respondent's License for violations of Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Chapter 4749, and Ohio Administrative Code ("Ohio Adm.Code") Chapter 4501:7, as authorized by R.C. 4749.04. A copy of the Notice is attached to this Agreement as Exhibit A, and incorporated by reference.
- C. A signed service card corresponding to the Notice was returned to the Department confirming the Notice was served on Respondent. Respondent timely submitted a hearing request to the Department.

DPS/I*ISGS Settlement Agreement with Contemporary Services Corporation Case No. 2016-940

Page I of 6



D. On August 17, 2016, the Department sent a certified letter to the Respondent with a notice of hearing. The matter was initially set for hearing on August 23, 2016 but was

continued upon the Department's own motion to October 6, 2016.

E. The Parties agree that this matter can be resolved to the satisfaction of all Parties, and

wish to enter into an agreement memorializing such resolution.

F. In consideration of the mutual promises, covenants, and agreements set forth herein, the

Parties agree as follows:

STIPULATIONS AND VIOLATIONS

Respondent stipulates to the jurisdiction of the Department in this matter.

2. This Settlement Agreement is a compromise between the Parties for the complete and

final settlement of the claims, differences, and causes of action set forth in the Notice,

attached as Exhibit A.

3. For purposes of case no. 2016-940 only, Respondent stipulates the following violations:

a. Respondent allowed forty-eight (48) employees to provide unregistered security

guard services, a violation of R.C. 4749.06 and 4749.13, and Ohio Adm.Code

4501:7-1-09, for a total of seven hundred and sixty-six (766) days of violation;

and

b. Respondent submitted late registration applications for ninety-four (94)

employees, a violation of R.C. 4749.06 and 4749.13, and Ohio Adm.Code

4501:7-1-09, for a total of eight hundred and forty-five (845) days of violation.

DPS/PISOS Settlement Agreement with Contemporary Services Corporation Cess No. 2016-940

Page 2 of 6

Respondent agrees that the Department may take this Settlement Agreement into account
when considering future disciplinary action arising from any future violation of R.C.
Chapter 4749 or Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4501:7-1.

REMEDIES

- 5. Respondent shall cure the violations listed in paragraph 3 of this Settlement Agreement within sixty (60) days after the date of execution of this Settlement Agreement by the Director of the Ohio Department of Public Safety ("Director").
- 6. Respondent shall:
 - a. Pay a Civil Penalty of fourteen thousand four hundred and ninety-nine dollars (\$14,499.00) no later than sixty (60) days after the date of execution of this Settlement Agreement by the Director.
 - b. Payment shall be made by certified check. The check should be made payable to
 "Ohio Treasurer of State" and shall be sent with a copy of this signed Settlement.

 Agreement to:

Ohio Department of Public Safety ATTN. Remittance Processing Dept. No. 900 P.O. Box 182081 Columbus, Ohio 43223-1234

Agreement by the Director, Respondent's qualifying agent shall complete an informational seminar ("Seminar") regarding R.C. Chapter 4749 and Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4501:7-1 offered by the Department. The qualifying agent shall contact the Department at (614) 466-4130 to schedule the Seminar. Further, any additional persons designated by Respondent shall attend the Seminar.

DPS/PISGS Settlement Agreement with Contemporary Services Corporation Case No. 2016-940

Page 3 of 6

the Department shall immediately issue a notice of default via first class mail to the qualifying agent listed in the records of the Department. Respondent may cure the default within fifteen (15) days from receipt of the notice of default by submitting the

payment owed under this Settlement Agreement to the Department and, if not yet

If Respondent fails to pay the Civil Penalty set forth above or fails to attend the Seminar.

completed, attending the next scheduled Seminar. If Respondent fails to cure the default

as set forth above, the entire amount still owed under this Settlement Agreement shall be

immediately due and the Department shall, automatically and without further notice,

suspend Respondent's License until Respondent's payments are in compliance with this

Settlement Agreement and Respondent has attended the Seminar.

8. Respondent knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waives the right to appeal under

R.C. 119.12.

7.

MISCELLANEOUS

9. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between

the Parties and it is also understood and agreed that no promises, conditions, or

obligations, either express or implied, other than those set forth in this Settlement

Agreement, shall be binding on the Parties. This Settlement Agreement supersedes any

and all prior agreements, representations, and communications, whether written or oral, if

any, between the Parties.

10. This Settlement Agreement is effective as of the latest date of signature below and is not

binding upon the Department unless executed in full.

11. This Settlement Agreement may not be modified or altered in any respect unless signed

in writing by all Parties.

DPS/PISGS Settlement Agreement with Contemporary Services Corporation Case No. 2016-940

Page 4 of 6

- 12. The Parties agree that the terms set forth in this Settlement Agreement are binding on the Parties, their agents, employees, assigns, and successors in interest.
- 13. This Settlement Agreement and the rights of the Parties hereunder shall be governed, construed, and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Ohio. Respondent consents to jurisdiction in a court of proper jurisdiction in Franklin County, Ohio.
- 14. Unless otherwise noted, the individuals signing this Settlement Agreement expressly represent and warrant that they are duly authorized and empowered to bind the Department and Respondent, respectively.
- 15. The Parties, by signing this Settlement Agreement, represent and warrant that they have full knowledge of all their rights, and they have signed this Settlement Agreement knowingly and voluntarily.
- 16. This Settlement Agreement may be signed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which constitute one and the same instrument.

Date: 9-26-2016

Investigator Security Guard Services
Peter Jamison a Ohio Attorney General gov

DPS/PISGS Settlement Agroement with Contemporary Services Corporation Case No. 2016-940

Page 6 of 6